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I. Management Summary

1. Cross-border supply chain processes involve many actors, from both the private and public sectors, with different functions and responsibilities. Customs is one of the actors that has a unique regulatory role, inter alia to collect revenue, execute trade policy, protect society, facilitate trade and establish a secure supply chain environment. In line with the slogan “Borders divide – Customs connects”, in addition to its domestic-oriented roles Customs has the opportunity to provide a more holistic, global benefit to international trade, through enhanced cooperation with Customs administrations in other countries.

2. One of the Pillars of the SAFE Framework of Standards (FoS), namely ‘Customs-to-Customs cooperation’, can be strengthened through the implementation of mutual recognition of controls and mutual recognition of Authorized Economic Operators (AEOs). Mutual recognition of controls is the recognition by a Customs administration of a control process performed on an economic operator by another Customs administration, thus eliminating/reducing the potential duplication of the control process and enhancing trade facilitation.

3. Furthermore, the WCO SAFE FoS introduced the concept of Mutual Recognition Agreements / Arrangements (MRAs) that enable the AEO status of economic operators involved in supply chain processes to be recognized.

4. In order to enable the efficient implementation of the mutual recognition of AEOs and the mutual recognition of controls and other similar arrangements, there is a clear need to develop a capability for the identification of economic operators by Customs administrations.

5. The WCO has developed a set of Guidelines, including technical standards, and a Recommendation on Trader Identification Number (TIN) to support a globally harmonized approach to the assignment, exchange and identification of TIN for economic operators. The objectives of the development of these Guidelines are to enable efficient implementation of AEO MRAs in particular, and to strengthen Customs-to-Customs cooperation in general.

II. Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TIN</td>
<td>Trader Identification Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRTIN</td>
<td>National/Regional TIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCO DM</td>
<td>WCO Data Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAFE FoS</td>
<td>SAFE Framework of Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEO</td>
<td>Authorized Economic Operator</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. General Overview

A Identification of economic operators

6. An identifier for an economic operator (e.g., TIN) provides a unique identity to that economic operator, which can be used as a reference/key to access a larger set of information relating to the economic operator, such as its name, address, contact details, director/partners, and legal status. Use of the identifier could eliminate the need to provide information relating to an economic operator in a repetitive manner to
various stakeholders. The identifier (e.g., TIN) can facilitate the retrieval of underlying information concerning the economic operator through an automated IT system.

7. Being required to operate as a legal entity, an economic operator is normally obliged to register itself with the government authorities in a country that will eventually provide an identification number recognizing the status of the economic operator to carry out its economic activities.

8. There are different approaches as regards how countries manage the registration of their economic operators. In some countries, an economic operator may be required to register with several government authorities and will receive several licenses/permits/certificates that include different identification numbers with respect to its status and associated activities. Other countries may have a harmonized and integrated approach to the registration of its economic operators, whereby a single identification number is assigned to an economic operator for multiple purposes.

B Need for a globally unique TIN

9. In the context of a cross-border supply chain, economic operators are normally required to comply with certain formalities, including having a business identifier, before starting their commercial operations.

10. A cooperation arrangement between the Customs administrations of the exporting and importing countries necessitates the identification of the economic operators involved in a supply chain within the country as well as across borders, to enable Customs to perform the appropriate actions. Whilst the identification of economic operators within its national jurisdiction is not an issue for Customs, the challenge could lie in their efficient identification in other jurisdictions across borders.

11. The establishment of an identification number that can be used globally for retrieving underlying information relating to an economic operator could address this challenge, thus improving supply chain efficiency across borders. In order to avoid any potential duplication and to ensure the accurate and efficient identification of economic operators, the Trader Identification Number needs to be globally unique.

C Benefits of TIN

Using TINs provides various benefits that include, *inter alia*:

a. Supporting the implementation of cooperation initiatives (e.g., mutual recognition) between and among Customs administrations;

b. Enabling recognition of the actions/controls/authorizations of one Customs administration by another;

c. Enhancing the efficiency of the clearance process by eliminating/reducing the time needed for Customs control;

d. Enhancing the data quality of Customs clearance processes;

e. Improving the visibility and transparency of supply chains;

f. Enabling Customs to enhance supply chain security; and

g. Maintaining the national / regional approach to managing economic operators’ identifiers.

D Use of TIN for supporting AEO – MRA

12. Authorized Economic Operator - Mutual Recognition (AEO - MR) is a broad concept within the SAFE Framework of Standards (SAFE FoS), where an action or
decision taken, or an authorization granted by a Customs administration, is recognized and accepted by another Customs administration. It provides a framework for extending AEO benefits across borders in the jurisdiction of the partner country, thus aiming at, among others, improving supply chain efficiency through reduced time and costs.

13. Mutual Recognition (MR) of Authorized Economic Operator (AEO) status is considered by Customs administrations as a key element for strengthening end-to-end supply chain security and multiplying benefits for economic operators. MR can be a means to avoid the duplication of security controls, and can contribute greatly to the facilitation and control of goods moving in the international supply chain. MR is being increasingly seen as the way forward for providing additional benefits to AEOs across borders, thus enriching the basket of tangible AEO benefits.

14. The key imperative in an MR process is to assign a unique number – called a Trader Identification Number (TIN) – to each AEO, that can be used across the supply chain and is recognized by MR partners.

15. Given the growing number of Mutual Recognition Arrangements/Agreements (MRAs), as well as the increasing focus on regional or plurilateral approaches to MR, there is a greater need for efficient implementation of MRAs. One of the key requirements identified in the implementation of MRAs is to have a globally unique TIN for AEOs to enable MRA partner Customs administrations to identify them efficiently for the granting of facilitation benefits.

16. Each of the AEOs should have a TIN that is globally unique. The TIN should be processable by the IT systems of partner MR countries. It is suggested to use American Standard Code for Information Interchange (ASCII) characters only, and not to use special characters. The partner countries’ systems should be able to recognize and cope with TINs assigned by other partner countries.

17. The exchange of AEO data based on TINs improves the visibility and transparency of supply chains; this should lead to enhanced security, whilst at the same time providing trade facilitation benefits to trusted traders that have demonstrated high compliance and put in place adequate security measures. The proliferation of MRAs with different solutions for the exchange of AEO data gives rise to a situation where traders and Customs are required to deal with different processes and multiple identifiers, leading to the inefficient and potentially inconsistent application of trade facilitation benefits. Hence, the standardization and harmonization of TINs is a key operational imperative for more efficient and effective MRA implementation.

18. Having a globally unique TIN obviates any possibility of an AEO not being recognized, or of some other trader being incorrectly recognized as an AEO – both cases having an adverse impact on the AEO and on Customs. It creates a win-win situation leading to improved trade facilitation benefits for AEOs in the jurisdiction of MRA partner administrations, as well as enhanced supply chain security from one end of the supply chain to the other.

19. The key issues involved are: the harmonization of the number itself, the entity information behind the number, and the exchange of such information, including AEO master data, between AEO-MRA partners, along with processes through which traders are, or should be, providing this number to Customs in order to acquire benefits under the MRA.
IV. TIN Specifications

A Operating Principles for the Exchange of AEO - Data for AEO Identifiers

20. The TIN solution, as set out in these Guidelines, is based on the following key principles among others:

a. optimize the balance between facilitation, security and compliance;

b. provide for greater levels of standardization and harmonization for AEO-MR implementation in the future;

c. build on existing international standards (e.g., ISO-2 country code, WCO Data Model);

d. reuse existing data when available, e.g., TIN to be based on the granting party’s AEO identifier. Inventing new data, when existing data is suitable to be exchanged for AEO-MRA purposes, only leads to additional costs without providing additional benefits;

e. minimum impact on existing systems through the use of a non-disruptive approach;

f. take into account existing processes / solutions which are already in place. Countries that have already developed systems and processes for the exchange of AEO data will need only minimum adjustments to their existing solutions;

g. scalable and future-proof:
   o consider future multilateral MRAs
   o applicable and workable for AEO entities / parties other than importers and exporters
   o the proliferation of MRAs, and the potential development of multilateral MRAs under which AEO data have to be exchanged on the basis of TIN to achieve the full benefits for AEOs, require that the chosen solution must be extendable to new MRAs without requiring further changes to IT systems;

h. in applicable cases, respect the protection of the confidentiality of AEO master data:
   o where public interrogation of identifiers exists, it must take into account the data privacy of the AEO
   o some countries have strict data protection rules that also apply to the exchange of AEO data. This must be taken into account when developing an AEO data exchange protocol;

i. allow for verifiability. Countries must be able to verify AEO data that has been exchanged for MRA purposes, since this has an impact on the risk assessment of supply chains:
   o provide for future machine readability and automatic processing; with the increase of trade and of MRAs and the widespread use of IT systems to manage cross-border trade, the solution should cater for automated processes.

B Standard Format of TIN

21. A globally unique standardized TIN format for cross-border exchanges can be achieved by adding a separate 2-digit Alfa numeric ISO Country Code attribute as a qualifier to the existing national identifier.
22. This standard format uses the WCO Data Model data element ‘Identification issuing country, coded’, in combination with the data element ‘Identification’ under the Party Superclass.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WCO ID</th>
<th>Data element name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>to be assigned in WCO DM v3.8.0</td>
<td>Identification issuing country, coded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S009</td>
<td>Party, coded</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C Business Processes

i. Overall Stakeholder interactions

23. The TIN, including its underlying AEO master data, is used within the overall AEO-MR process that involves several stakeholders, including:

- AEOs in the exporting country;
- Customs in the exporting country;
- AEOs in the importing country;
- Declarant (s) in the importing country;
- Customs in the importing country.

24. Overall use-case and associated requirements are set out in the use-case diagram (Annex I).

ii. Registration and / or Assignment of National/Regional Trader Identification Numbers (NTINs/RTINs)

25. An economic operator needs to apply to the Customs administration to obtain AEO status. The Customs administration will perform validation on the applicant economic operator to determine approval of AEO status for that economic operator. A document certifying the AEO status of the economic operator will be provided upon approval. The document will normally contain an identification number for the economic operator.

26. There are different practices for assigning an AEO identification number to accredited AEOs. Some countries reuse an existing national identification number, such as the economic operator’s tax number, business registration number or Customs (import/export) number. Other countries generate/assign a new AEO number as the identifier.

iii. Exchange of AEO master data

27. AEO master data should be exchanged between and among Customs administrations (Customs-to-Customs exchange) that are involved in a bilateral or plurilateral MRA, in an automated manner, using a standardized electronic messaging format (e.g., electronic message based on the INTERGOV Base Information Package - BIP). This exchange of information enables the Customs administration of the importing country to validate the status of economic operators involved in a supply chain, and could eliminate the need for economic operators in the exporting country to register/notify themselves in the MRA partner importing country.

---

1 Superclass is a type of Class which represents the common characteristics of (shares common data elements with) its Subclasses. Party Superclass for instance, has common data elements, such as name, coded name (identification) and role, with its Subclasses, such as Exporter, Importer, Agent, Consignee and Consignor.
28. The Master Data structure provide semantic information model that could be implemented in actual message exchanges using different message formats. Currently, the WCO support two major message formats, namely the UN/EDIFACT – GOVCBR and XML.

29. It is recommended to adopt a consistent and efficient means of establishing connectivity with different MRA partners for the exchange of messages, in order to avoid a fragmented approach which could lead to increased transaction costs.

iv. Exchange of TINs by economic operators

30. The exchange of AEO master data between MR partners eliminates the need for economic operators to provide a complete set of information relating to their AEO status to the Customs administration in the importing country. The TIN is sufficient to enable the Customs administration of the importing country to look into the underlying information and validate the AEO status of an economic operator.

31. In order to make the Customs administration in the importing country aware of its AEO status, an economic operator needs to share its TIN with the declarant(s) that will file the Customs / Cargo Declaration.

v. The use of TINs in Customs / Cargo Declarations

32. In order to inform the Customs administration in the importing country of the AEO Status of all economic operators involved in supply chain processes, the declarant(s) needs to supply the TIN of those economic operators in its Customs / Cargo Declarations.

33. For identifying the AEO status of multiple actors in the supply chain in an AEO-MR process (where required), the WCO Data Model supports the use of the TINs of multiple types of economic operators in a Customs declaration.

vi. Recognition of TINs

34. The Customs administration in the importing country will validate the Customs / Cargo Declaration that is submitted by the declarant(s), and look for information on the TINs that are supplied in the Declaration. The automated clearance system will retrieve underlying AEO information, including the AEO status corresponding to each TIN from the AEO master database.

35. Customs will apply the appropriate facilitation treatment to the relevant consignment upon confirmation of the AEO status of the exporter and/or other economic operators, as agreed in the MRA.

D Trader Master data

i. Maximum Dataset

A list of AEO master data set is set out in Annex II. The AEO master data elements identified have been incorporated in the WCO Data Model.
ii. Message Standards

A. WCO Data Model

36. The WCO Data Model is a clearly structured, harmonized, standardized and reusable set of data definitions and electronic messages to meet the operational and legal requirements of cross-border regulatory agencies, including Customs.

B. Information Package (IP)

37. The WCO Data Model is a framework that is applicable in many different areas of border management processes. The Information Package is a hierarchical concept for organizing the use of the WCO Data Model for specific border management processes.

38. The INTERGOV Base Information Package (BIP) is the IP to facilitate the exchange of information between government agencies. The exchange of master data between MR partners is developed based on INTERGOV BIP.

39. The INTERGOV BIP includes a Master Data structure that was created to facilitate the exchange of non-transactional information. Unlike transactional data that represents events, Master data represents people or entity (demographic), places (geographic), or things. From a regulatory perspective, the lifecycle of the master data is normally associated with the registration process. Normally, master data contains information that is relatively steady but could occasionally be updated. The AEO master data falls under the category of master data and needs to use this structure to facilitate its data exchange.

40. The AEO Master Dataset Derived Information Package (DIP) is set out in Annex III.

C. Superclass

41. A Superclass is a type of Class which exhibits common characteristics and shares common data elements with its Subclasses. The AEO master data is modelled on the basis of the Party Superclass. The Party Superclass shares common data elements, such as name, coded name (identification) and role, with its Subclasses, which include Exporter, Consignee, Consignor and Importer.

D. ICT Functional Requirements

42. IT systems are the key to MRA implementation. It is therefore essential to guarantee the connectivity/interoperability of the IT systems of both parties, and in particular the capability of the IT systems to handle AEO master data exchange and the identification of each other’s AEOs based on the TIN in the Customs transaction systems of both parties. MRA partners should have robust IT systems that have the following features:

   a. capability to update and exchange AEO master data on a real-time or periodic basis;
   b. promptness, completeness and accuracy of the process of uploading the exchanged AEO data to the parties’ respective systems;
c. matching of previously uploaded AEO master data with the AEO identifier (TIN) declared by economic operators (e.g., carriers, importers, agents/brokers);
d. capability to accept the TIN and identify each party’s AEOs in order to grant benefits;
e. applicability of benefits based on the results of data matching/validation;
f. efficiency and effectiveness of the data exchange channel; and
g. acceptable time taken to receive an indication of message receipt and data transmission quality.

V. Mechanism for the exchange of Trader Master Data

a. GNC UB on AEO MRA

43. The Globally Networked Customs (GNC) AEO-MR Utility Block (UB) developed for the implementation of the US-EU MRA\(^2\) provides a standardized approach for the exchange of AEO master data in an automated and periodic manner. This UB can be replicated/customized to suit other countries and regions, thus promoting greater use of ICT applications in AEO Mutual Recognition implementation.

VI. Migration Strategy

44. One of the key ‘Operating Principles for the Exchange of AEO-Data for AEO Identifiers’ is that the future solution should take into account existing processes/solutions which are already in place. To that end, bearing in mind that countries are already using different trader identifiers (e.g., business registration number, tax number, import/export code, etc.) in their domestic environments for several purposes, and given the challenges involved in revamping the entire trader identification system, it would be useful to take into account the existing functional national trader identifiers for a globally unique TIN for the AEO-MR process. This allows maximum implementation flexibility and a smooth system transition that disadvantages neither Customs nor trade. Different TIN publication models could be adopted based on the existing national trader identifier systems and other imperatives.

45. When a Customs administration is using a national trader identifier system that is already compliant with the global TIN standard, it could simply reuse the national TIN as such, with the addition of the 2-digit Alfa-numeric ISO Country Code, as a qualifier to construct a standardized TIN format.

46. Member not aligned with this solution should conduct a comprehensive impact assessment, along with private sector stakeholders, prior to the implementation of the TIN solution to ensure that the solution is fit for purpose and does not create any negative impacts on costs and resources.

VII. Use of the exporting country AEO master data by importing Customs administrations

47. Once AEO master data has been shared by the exporting Customs administration, the importing Customs administration needs to determine how this data would be stored and utilized (bearing in mind data privacy and security requirements) for the purposes of different national processes, such as clearance validation and risk management. In general, overseas AEO data could be stored in the same database as existing national trader/AEO data. This approach would enable the importing Customs administration to use existing systems to look up both partner countries’ AEO data and domestic trader data using the same method/process.

48. Alternatively, partner countries’ AEO data could be stored in a different database, and an additional functionality could be added to allow the existing system to look up the information stored in that database.
Annex I: Overall Use-Case diagram of AEO data management with connection to Mutual Recognition
Annex II: AEO Master Data Set

- Full name of the AEO
- Short name of the AEO
- TIN
- Identification Issuing Country
- Role (Importer/exporter/carryer/other economic operators)
- AEO Status (validated)
- AEO Certificate Number
- Certification Date
- Validity Date
- Street and number of the AEO
- Postal code of the AEO
- City name of the AEO
- State
- Country
- Business Type
Annex III: AEO Master Dataset Derived Information Package (DIP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class Path/Attribute Name</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>WCO ID</th>
<th>Format</th>
<th>Code List</th>
<th>XML Tag Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MasterData</td>
<td>Exchange of information between Governmental organizations related to Master Data</td>
<td>75B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Message function, coded</td>
<td>Code indicating the function of a document</td>
<td>D017</td>
<td>n..2</td>
<td>EDIFACT codes (1225)</td>
<td>FunctionCode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional reference number</td>
<td>Reference number identifying a specific information exchange</td>
<td>D026</td>
<td>an..70</td>
<td></td>
<td>FunctionalReferenceID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document name, coded</td>
<td>Code specifying the name of a document</td>
<td>D013</td>
<td>an..3</td>
<td>EDIFACT codes (1001) or User codes</td>
<td>TypeCode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MasterData/MasterDataRecipient</td>
<td>Details related to the Recipient of the Master Data</td>
<td>26C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master Data Recipient type, coded</td>
<td>To identify the type of regulatory office that receives the Master Data</td>
<td>G016</td>
<td>an..3</td>
<td>EDIFACT codes (9415)</td>
<td>TypeCode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master Data Recipient, coded</td>
<td>To identify the regulatory office that receives the Master Data</td>
<td>G017</td>
<td>an..17</td>
<td>UN/LOCODE (an..5) + user codes (an..12)</td>
<td>ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MasterData/MasterDataSender</td>
<td>Details related to the Sender of the Master Data</td>
<td>27C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master Data Sender type, coded</td>
<td>To identify the type of regulatory office that sends the Master Data</td>
<td>G018</td>
<td>an..3</td>
<td>EDIFACT codes (9415)</td>
<td>TypeCode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master Data Sender, coded</td>
<td>To identify the regulatory office that sends the Master Data</td>
<td>G019</td>
<td>an..17</td>
<td>UN/LOCODE (an..5) + user codes (an..12)</td>
<td>ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MasterData/MasterDataParty</td>
<td>Party information in the context of Master Data</td>
<td>28C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MasterData Party name</td>
<td>Name of the Party in the context of Master Data</td>
<td>R148</td>
<td>an..70</td>
<td></td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master Data party, coded</td>
<td>Identification of the Party in the context of Master Data</td>
<td>R149</td>
<td>an..35</td>
<td>International codes (e.g. DUNS, EAN) or user codes</td>
<td>ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role code</td>
<td>Code giving specific meaning to a party</td>
<td>R005</td>
<td>an..3</td>
<td>EDIFACT codes (3035)</td>
<td>RoleCode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification issuing country, code</td>
<td>To achieve a globally unique standardised TIN format for cross-border exchanges, add this separate 2-digit alpha numeric ISO Country Code attribute as a qualifier to the existing national identifier.</td>
<td>R147</td>
<td>a2</td>
<td>EDIFACT codes (3207) = ISO 3166-1 2-alpha code</td>
<td>IdentificationIssuingCountryCode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MasterData Party short name</td>
<td>Short name of the Party in the context of Master Data</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>an..70</td>
<td></td>
<td>ShortName</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business type, code</td>
<td>The business type of a party. Represents the nature of business / business area of the AEO</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>an..3</td>
<td>User codes</td>
<td>BusinessType</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MasterData/MasterDataParty/Address</td>
<td>Details relating to an address</td>
<td>04A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of address</td>
<td>To identify the type of an address</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>an..3</td>
<td>EDIFACT codes (3131)</td>
<td>TypeCode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City name</td>
<td>Name of a city</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>an..35</td>
<td></td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country, coded</td>
<td>Identification of the name of the country or other geographical entity as specified in ISO 3166 and UN/ECE Rec 3</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>a2</td>
<td>EDIFACT codes (3207) = ISO 3166-1 2-alpha code</td>
<td>CountryCode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country name</td>
<td>Name of the country or other geographical entity</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>an..35</td>
<td></td>
<td>CountryName</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Country sub-entity identification</strong></td>
<td><strong>Code specifying the name of a country subdivision</strong></td>
<td>244</td>
<td>an..9</td>
<td>EDIFACT codes (3229) = ISO 3166-2 2-alpha code or User codes</td>
<td><strong>CountrySubDivisionCode</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Street and number/P.O. Box</strong></td>
<td>Specification of the postal delivery point such as street and number or post office box</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>an..256</td>
<td>Line</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Postcode identification</strong></td>
<td>Code specifying a postal zone or address</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>an..17</td>
<td>User codes</td>
<td><strong>PostcodeID</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MasterData/MasterDataParty/Contact</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department or employee – Contact name</td>
<td>Name of a department or employee</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>an..70</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department or employee – Contact function, coded</td>
<td>Code specifying the function of a contact (e.g. department or person)</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>an..3</td>
<td>EDIFACT codes (3139)</td>
<td><strong>FunctionCode</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MasterData/MasterDataParty/Contact/Communication</strong></td>
<td>Details of communication including number and number type</td>
<td>25A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication number</td>
<td>To identify a communication address</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>an..50</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication number type</td>
<td>To identify the type of communication address</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>an..3</td>
<td>EDIFACT codes (3155)</td>
<td><strong>TypeCode</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MasterData/MasterDataParty/Communication</strong></td>
<td>Details of communication including number and number type</td>
<td>25A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication number</td>
<td>To identify a communication address</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>an..50</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication number type</td>
<td>To identify the type of communication address</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>an..3</td>
<td>EDIFACT codes (3155)</td>
<td><strong>TypeCode</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MasterData/MasterDataParty/AdditionalIdentifier</strong></td>
<td>Additional identification of a party. Enables the use of other identifications associated with an AEO</td>
<td>23C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequence number</td>
<td>Number indicating the position in a sequence</td>
<td>006</td>
<td>n..5</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>SequenceNumeric</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional identification, coded</td>
<td>Additional identification of a party, e.g. VAT number</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>an..35</td>
<td>International codes (e.g. DUNS, EAN) or user codes. To be used with CDT attributes &quot;Identification Scheme. Identifier&quot; and &quot;Identification Scheme Agency. Identifier&quot; to make the information unique.</td>
<td>ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification issuing country, code</td>
<td>To achieve a globally unique standardised TIN format for cross-border exchanges, add this separate 2-digit alpha numeric ISO Country Code attribute as a qualifier to the existing national identifier.</td>
<td>R147</td>
<td>a2</td>
<td>EDIFACT codes (3207) = ISO 3166-1 2-alpha code</td>
<td><strong>IdentificationIssuingCountryCode</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MasterData/MasterDataParty/AdditionalDocument</strong></td>
<td>Details related to additional documents supplied as part of a declaration or sought as part of a response. Represents the AEO Certificate that contains AEO status information</td>
<td>02A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document category, coded</td>
<td>Code specifying the category of a document</td>
<td>D031</td>
<td>an..3</td>
<td>User codes</td>
<td><strong>CategoryCode</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document Effective Date</td>
<td>The effective date of the document (e.g. license, visa, permit, certificate)</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>an..35</td>
<td>EffectiveDateTime</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document Expiration (Expiry) Date</td>
<td>The expiry date of the document (e.g. license, visa, permit, certificate)</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>an..35</td>
<td>ExpirationDateTime</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional document reference number</td>
<td>Identifier of a document providing additional information</td>
<td>D005</td>
<td>an..70</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AEO Master Data UML Class Diagram

MasterData
- Message function, coded [1..1]
- Functional reference number [1..1]
- Document name, coded [1..1]

MasterDataRecipient
- Master Data Recipient type, coded [0..1]

MasterDataSender
- Master Data Sender type, coded [0..1]

MasterDataParty
- Master Data Party name [0..1]
- Role code [0..1]
- Identification issuing country, code [0..1]
- MasterData Party short name [0..1]
- Business type, code [0..1]

Address
- Type of address [0..1]
- City name [0..1]
- Country, coded [0..1]
- Country name [0..1]
- Country sub-entity identification [0..1]
- Street and number/P.O. Box [0..1]
- Postcode identification [0..1]

Contact
- Department or employee – Contact name [0..1]
- Department or employee – Contact function, coded [0..1]

Communication
- Communication number [0..1]
- Communication number type [0..1]

AdditionalIdentifier
- Sequence number [0..1]
- Additional identification, coded [0..1]
- Identification issuing country, code [0..1]

AdditionalDocument
- Document category, coded [0..1]
- Document Effective Date [0..1]
- Document Expiration (expiry) Date [0..1]
- Additional document reference number [0..1]
- Document/message status, coded [0..1]
- Additional document type, coded [0..1]