GUIDELINES FOR POST-CLEARANCE AUDIT (PCA) VOLUME 1

WORLD CUSTOMS ORGANIZATION
JUNE 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION.....4

II. VOLUME 1

1. BACKGROUND TO POST-CLEARANCE AUDIT (PCA) 6
   1.1. What are the objectives of PCA? 6
   1.2. Benefits derived from PCA 6
   1.3. Overview of the PCA process 7

2. LEGAL AND OPERATIONAL FRAMEWORK FOR PCA.....9
   2.1. Legal framework and essential powers.....9
      PCA scope and coverage.....9
      Authority and powers of Customs officers 10
      Obligations and rights of auditees 10
   2.2. Organizational structure 11

3. STRATEGIC PLANNING FOR PCA 12
   3.1. General.....12
   3.2. Targeting: selection for audit 12
   3.3. Promoting improved compliance via self-assessment.....12
   3.4. Resource management 13
      Training needs/professional skills 14
      Ethical standards for auditors 15
   3.5 Limitations of PCA.....16

4. RISK MANAGEMENT 17
   4.1. Outline of the risk management process.....17
   4.2. Establishment of risk intelligence systems.....20

5. LIAISON WITH OTHER/FOREIGN CUSTOMS UNITS AND TAX DEPARTMENTS.....21
      Suspected fraud 21
      Legal advice.....21
      Other Customs units.....21
      Liaison with Tax and VAT departments 21
      Liaison with foreign Customs administrations.....21

ANNEX: EXAMPLE OF LEGISLATION (TURKEY) 22

III. VOLUME 2 (Restricted - for WCO Members use only)

1. IMPLEMENTATION OF PCA
   1.1. Development of audit programs and standardized audit procedures
   1.2. Identification of potential subjects for audits
   1.3. Selection process
      Risk-based selection and risk indicators
      Collaboration with other Customs units

2. PREPARATORY PROCESS
   2.1. Pre-audit research
   2.2. Preparatory checklists
   2.3. Notification of audit

3. CONDUCT OF THE FIELD AUDIT
   3.1. Initial audit meeting
   3.2. Audit techniques and tools
      Basic guidelines
      Interviewing techniques
      Checklists on specific issues
   3.3. Examination
      Inspection of books and records
      Examination of accounting records
      Inspection of computer-based accounts systems
      Physical inspection of the goods and premises
      Expansion of the audit to third parties
   3.4. Recording, review of findings and conclusions
   3.5. Reporting
   3.6. Evaluation and follow-up

4. IRREGULARITIES
   4.1. Fraud, negligence and errors
   4.2. Indication of serious offense

ANNEX: CASE STUDIES

Copyright © 2012 World Customs Organization. All rights reserved. Requests and inquiries concerning translation, reproduction and adaptation rights should be addressed to copyright@wcoomd.org

I. INTRODUCTION

The traditional public image of the Customs official is often portrayed as the uniformed man or woman at a frontier post or airport. The physical presence of Customs at the gateway to a country means checks can be conducted in real time before a decision is made to release a consignment of goods. It can also act as a deterrent to would-be fraudsters.

Border controls still have a part to play in a modern Customs service; however, excessive and time-consuming checks at the point of clearance can be counterproductive. Modern international commerce works to tight deadlines and national economic benefits can be derived as the result of the smooth and timely clearance of goods. Furthermore, the majority of international trade involves large corporations with global networks and complex business systems and supply chains. The limited documentation required to be produced at the time of importation does not provide the whole picture and context of a commercial transaction, which is necessary to properly determine, inter alia, the correct Customs value, classification and entitlement to preferential origin.

It becomes unfeasible, therefore, for Customs to make conclusive decisions regarding duty liability in the narrow time frame available. Neither is it appropriate to delay clearance of goods whilst resolving such enquiries, unless fraud is suspected. Many administrations, therefore, now concentrate their controls on the post-importation environment, whilst retaining selective and targeted checks at the frontier.

By application of a post-clearance, risk-based approach, Customs are able to target their resources more effectively and work in partnership with the business community to improve compliance levels and facilitate trade. The Post-Clearance Audit (PCA) process can be defined as the structured examination of a business' relevant commercial systems, sales contracts, financial and non-financial records, physical stock and other assets as a means to measure and improve compliance.

A dynamic risk management programme is indispensable for PCA. Further information on this topic is available in the WCO's Risk Management Compendium.

Stepping stones towards an effective PCA system

The transition from border-focused controls to PCA as the prime basis for Customs controls typically evolves over several years, as new processes and procedures are developed and new skills learnt. However, PCA implementation is not a standalone process; it needs to be embedded in a wider facilitation context, allowing simplified clearance procedures at the borders, warehousing procedures and other Customs regimes (e.g. regimes which build on a regularly functioning relationship between the Customs administration and the auditee). Customs controls are normally migrated in stages from the border to the post-importation environment. Some administrations have introduced, as a first step, post-importation transaction-based controls before adopting compliance-based PCA. Such an approach may be considered by those administrations embarking on the transition to PCA. See section 1.3 for more information.

These Guidelines are presented in two volumes. Volume 1 is primarily targeted at management level to assist with the development and administration of a PCA programme (this volume is freely available). Volume 2 focuses on the operational aspects of PCA, with practical guidance and checklists for auditing officials (restricted to Customs administrations). Further information on the technical Customs topics related to the subject of a Customs audit (e.g. Customs valuation, classification and origin) are available in other guidance material produced by the WCO (see Revenue Package Schedule).

II. VOLUME 1

1. BACKGROUND TO POST-CLEARANCE AUDIT (PCA)

1.1. What are the objectives of PCA?

The key objectives of PCA can be summarized as follows:

- To assure that Customs declarations have been completed in compliance with Customs requirements, via examination of a trader's systems, accounting records and premises;
- To verify that the amount of revenue legally due has been identified and paid;
- To facilitate international trade movements of the compliant trade sector;
- To ensure goods liable to specific import/export controls are properly declared, including prohibitions and restrictions, licenses, quota, etc.;
- To ensure conditions relating to specific approvals and authorizations are being observed, e.g. pre-authenticated transit documents, preferential origin/movement certificates, licenses, quota arrangements, Customs and excise warehouses and other simplified procedure arrangements.

Note: PCA should not be confused with "internal audit," which is a mechanism to verify and review, either conducted by a company to review its own internal procedures or by Customs to review Customs' own internal rules and procedures. Internal audits may be useful for management purposes and are an important part of an integrity programme but are quite distinct from the concept of PCA.

1.2. Benefits derived from PCA

- Compliant trade is facilitated at the point of Customs clearance as border controls can be reduced;
- Enables Customs to gain better information on and understanding of clients' business;
- Risk levels can be more easily assessed and reviewed: a premises visit provides the opportunity to identify risks and weaknesses in traders' systems;
- Facilitates client education, long-term and comprehensive compliance management focus;
- Customs administrations' resources are more effectively deployed;
- Customs can promote the concept of voluntary compliance and self-assessment;
- Suspected fraudulent activities may be identified and referred to enforcement unit for appropriate action;
- Provides a platform for evaluating continued entitlement to Authorized Economic Operator status, where applicable.

1.3. Overview of the PCA process

The following chart outlines a recommended cycle for planning a systems-based audit programme:



Types of audit/verifications

- **Post-importation transaction verification**

As mentioned in the introduction, administrations that have newly implemented post-importation controls may first consider introducing checks on individual transactions. This can work in two ways: 1) Referrals from the port/border post when an officer has doubts concerning a particular declaration at the time of clearance. If it is deemed that significant duty may be at stake, an enquiry is then referred to the appropriate Customs office to further examine the declaration, normally in consultation with the importer. The goods in question may be released or other action may be taken as appropriate. 2) A targeting team scrutinizes individual Customs declarations after clearance and selects those where doubts arise regarding their accuracy. These declarations are then verified as above, normally in consultation with the importer, and action is taken as appropriate. In each case, it is highly recommended that risk-based selection criteria are used to determine which Customs declarations will be verified.

At a later stage, the administration can consider developing a post-import, systems-based audit approach.

- **Office/desk audit or verification**

Certain audits may be conducted by correspondence or telephone, typically where straightforward or single issues are involved. An office audit may be deemed appropriate as a result of the pre-audit survey. Although it is not as thorough as a field audit, it uses fewer resources and acts as a reminder to business that Customs are monitoring their activities. It should also be noted that a desk audit may subsequently involve a field audit, if deemed necessary, in order to examine an issue in more detail.

- **Field / On-site audit**

This type of audit takes place at the premises of the auditee. The amount of information to be examined by auditors is potentially large and will depend on the length of time since the previous audit of the business in question. A complete picture of the business can be captured during the audit, including details of business systems, trading methods and partners, etc.

2. LEGAL AND OPERATIONAL FRAMEWORK FOR PCA

2.1. Legal framework and essential powers[1]

To facilitate PCA, it is necessary to implement legislation which provides Customs with the legal basis to conduct an audit and also sets out the rights and obligations of the auditee. Each Customs administration will develop laws and regulations based on national requirements or, in cases where a Customs union exists, at a regional level.

Customs laws and regulations should provide the following:

- definition of PCA coverage (persons/company subject to PCA)
- authority and powers of Customs officials/auditors conducting PCA
- obligations and rights of auditees
- penalty scheme
- right of appeal

PCA scope and coverage

The scope and coverage of PCA - namely, which persons may be subject to an audit - should be clearly defined in Customs laws and regulations. Potentially, all businesses involved in the import and/or export of goods or in the receipt, storage, manufacture and delivery of goods subject to Customs controls may be audited.

This may include:

- importers;
- declarants;
- consignees of the imported goods;
- owners of the imported goods;
- subsequent acquirers of the imported goods;
- Customs clearing agents of the imported goods;
- storage agents of the imported goods;
- transporters of the imported goods;
- other persons/companies directly or indirectly involved in the transaction of the imported goods.

[1] Examples of legal provisions explained in this chapter can be found in the Annex to Volume 1.

Authority and powers of Customs officers

Customs laws and regulations should provide officers conducting PCA with the authority to conduct an audit at the premises of the auditee. Necessary powers include:

- the right to access auditee's premises;
- the right to examine business records, business systems and commercial data relevant to Customs declarations;
- the right to inspect auditee's premises;
- the right to uplift and retain documents and business records;
- and the right to inspect and take samples of goods.

Obligations and rights of auditees

Customs laws and regulations should set out the rights and obligations of persons/companies involved in international trade. Provisions should include:

- a requirement to maintain specified documentation, information and records. The duration for retaining such records should be no less than the maximum period after importation when Customs may legally demand underpaid duty and make refunds for overpaid duty;
- a requirement to make such documentation, information and records available in a timely manner;
- a right to appeal [2];
- a right to an explanation from Customs concerning determination of Customs value[3];
- a right to expect confidential treatment of business documentation;
- and a right to clearance of goods at the frontier with provision of security[4].

When the scope of auditees is expanded to persons other than declarants and importers, it will come into question whether a legal obligation for keeping records can be imposed on all persons/companies designated as complementary auditees. The problem is whether it is reasonable to impose a legal obligation for keeping business records on persons/companies other than those who have close relation to import transactions and Customs clearances such as the importer, a Customs clearing agent, a transporter, etc. under the Customs laws and regulations. Other national legislations regarding the business activities, such as income tax law, may stipulate their obligation.

The adequacy of powers available to Customs administrations under national law needs to be kept under review and powers should be modified where necessary to respond to new national and international commitments.

[2] Revised Kyoto Convention, Chapter 10 and WTO Valuation Agreement, Article 11
[3] WTO Valuation Agreement, Article 16
[4] WTO Valuation Agreement, Article 13

Other non-Customs legislation may be of relevance and interest to Customs in the course of conducting a PCA. For example:

- regulation of business entities and their activities, such as commercial law, company law, income tax law, etc.
- import/export licensing requirements
- foreign currency control, etc.
- obligations deriving from binding UN Security Council Resolutions: Chapter VII UN Charter (e.g. embargos, export controls).

2.2. Organizational structure

PCA has an interface with many other areas within the Customs department, including risk management and intelligence, enforcement, debt / revenue collection and legal support. The organizational and management structure should therefore reflect this and facilitate close working and effective communication among these areas.

Normally, a PCA programme will be driven by a central policy team responsible for managing the audit programme. Depending on the demographics of a particular country, an administration will set up one or more operational PCA units. The need for Customs staff to be able to visit a trader's premises means Customs offices should be located accordingly. For example, a small island economy may have just one main business centre and require just one PCA office. A large country, on the other hand, may have many centres, separated by long distances and time zones.

A number of administrations have set up dedicated teams responsible for the control of large businesses. In this model, a number of officers will be appointed to the large business team with a unique responsibility for ensuring the continued compliance of those businesses. It can also be useful to develop trade sector specialists; e.g. car industry, textiles, chemicals, etc. within a large business team.

The actual organizational structure and allocation of responsibilities will vary between administrations.

3. STRATEGIC PLANNING FOR PCA

3.1. General

Once a PCA structure and system is in place, the next step is to develop an audit plan. This will determine how many audits will be conducted and who will be audited over a specified period, normally one year.

The development of an audit plan should also be linked to the overarching strategy for conducting pre-arrival controls and controls at the time of clearance. This ensures a unified strategy to the complete Customs control cycle.

3.2. Targeting: selection for audit

This work is normally carried out by a dedicated risk analysis/targeting team. The selection should be based on risk assessment and take into account the human resources available to conduct audits. The team will then present or propose a plan to the PCA team who will be responsible for carrying out the audits. The frequency for auditing a particular business entity will vary depending on the perceived risk.

It is important that the results from an audit are fed back to the risk analysis/targeting team so that the risk rating of the business in question can be adjusted accordingly. This information will also help to determine the need for follow-up/repeat audits.

Special exercises may be conducted in particular areas (e.g. trade sectors or countries of origin) for a limited period where high levels of irregularities are anticipated. This can be an effective use of resources and help to improve compliance.

3.3. Promoting improved compliance via self-assessment

PCA provides an opportunity for Customs to encourage commercial operators to comply with Customs requirements through self-assessment and to provide advice accordingly. Customs administrations should actively promote the benefits of compliance to the business community and provide information and advice via telephone enquiry lines, Internet or other publicity.

Some administrations offer advance rulings in the areas of classification, Customs valuation and preferential origin as a further means to provide greater certainty to the importer, prior to the arrival of the goods.

In the course of an audit, auditors should make recommendations that encourage auditees to establish, maintain, and improve their internal controls and systems. This can be effective in a number of areas such as the reliability of financial accounting and compliance with applicable laws and regulations. Internal controls can also detect and prevent fraud, negligence, or operational errors which may have affected Customs declarations. When auditors judge that the auditee's internal controls are well designed and performing effectively, this should be reflected in the business' risk rating.

Where internal control is sufficient to fulfill the conditions set by Customs, such a person/company may be considered eligible for a facilitated Customs procedures as stipulated in the Transitional Standard 3.32[5] (Authorized Trader) of the Revised Kyoto Convention/RKC.

Importers and brokers can also be given the opportunity to voluntarily request an amendment of a Customs declaration without penalty when errors have been identified, even after clearance of the declaration.

When PCA is newly introduced, Customs may prepare a publicity or education program to disseminate necessary information to make importers aware of the objectives of PCA, raise awareness and improve the level of cooperation. Initially, there may be some resistance as some commercial operators may not understand how they can benefit from PCA, and they may be unfamiliar with the idea of visits from Customs officers.

In order to improve the compliance level of Customs declarations through self-assessment, it is effective to inform declarants, including brokers and importers, about typical and frequent errors found on Customs declarations, and assist them in avoiding such errors in future. It is also important to encourage a mutual responsibility between importers and their brokers to ensure declarations are accurate; the importer should provide all necessary information to his broker and, likewise, the broker should actively challenge the information provided by an importer if he considers it to be inaccurate or incomplete.

3.4. Resource management

The redirection of controls from the border towards the post-importation environment poses significant resource implications for a Customs administration. The increase in PCA activities should coincide with a commensurate decrease in border controls; based on an adequate risk assessment, it may be considered to reduce the number of staff in ports and border posts and to increase staff in PCA teams. New offices may also need to be set up. This raises a number of considerations, including the need to retrain officials, upgrade I.T. infrastructure and consider a number of human and financial resource issues.

[5] Authorized Trader as defined in RKC Transitional Standard 3.32 should not be confused with Authorized Economic Operator (SAFE Framework of Standards Chapter 2.3. and Chapter 5.1.)

Training needs/professional skills

A combination of skills, knowledge and experience is required to carry out PCA effectively. With the increased use of electronic recordkeeping and the complexity and diversity of global trade, the need for higher standards of training becomes increasingly important. Customs administrations should be committed to providing auditors with the levels of training necessary to equip them to perform their duties. Training departments have an important part to play in ensuring that officers acquire the required skills to conduct an audit.

All auditors need a range of general skills relevant to the task of auditing. These skills include:

- accounting techniques and principles, based on Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP);
- knowledge of auditing standards and procedures;
- familiarity with Customs laws and regulations;
- general knowledge of Customs procedures (valuation, classification, origin, etc.);
- knowledge of computer-based accounting systems;
- and a commercial awareness and knowledge of business strategies in international trade.

It is also recommended that certain staff working in audit have specialist skills for particular technical areas, such as:

- Customs Valuation, Rules of Origin, Tariff Classification.
- I.T.-based accounting
- multinational corporation accounting, including transfer pricing
- specialist trade sector knowledge

Customs recruitment and training policy should address the above needs. In some cases, external support may be necessary to provide the specialist skills. With regard to knowledge of transfer pricing, assistance may be sought from direct tax officials.



Ethical standards for auditors

Auditors must maintain high professional standards when conducting PCA.

(a) Integrity
The WCO has developed a Model Code of Ethics and Conduct that sets out the minimum required attitude and behaviour expected of all Customs officers. The Code of Conduct should be respected in the context of conducting PCA.

(b) Confidentiality
Auditors must maintain adequate levels of confidentiality when accessing and examining auditees' records. Auditors should not disclose any business information they have acquired during the performance of their duties, unless national laws provide for disclosure of information in specific cases. Likewise, they should not disclose information confidential to Customs outside of their administrations.

(c) Professional competence, due care and diligence
Auditors should act diligently and in accordance with applicable technical and professional standards. Further, they should take due care of the auditee's property and respect company health and safety policies and requirements (e.g. wearing of safety helmets).

(d) Equity/Impartiality
Auditors are required to be objective, maintain fair and just judgment over similar cases and not to treat them arbitrarily or allow bias, conflicts of interest or undue influence of others to override professional or business judgments. They should not misuse their authority over the auditee.

3.5 Limitations of PCA

It is recognized that PCA is the most effective means of ensuring compliance with Customs requirements. Particularly in such areas as Customs valuation, effective verification requires access to the importer's records and accounting system which is not possible at the time of clearance. Customs administrations should therefore continually strive to implement PCA to the greatest extent possible.

However, many countries have significant levels of informal trade, characterized by poor or non-existent accounting systems, cash-based trading and lack of permanent premises. In such cases, PCA is not the most practical tool; there may be problems locating the trader and, once located, there is often a lack of a structured accounting system and supporting books and records, etc.

Therefore, border controls are the only realistic opportunity to conduct controls, which should be targeted via risk management techniques. Notwithstanding this, Customs should continue to encourage greater compliance and ensure that those operating in the informal sector are given opportunity and incentive to formalize their procedures in line with Customs requirements.

4. RISK MANAGEMENT

The WCO Risk Management Compendium defines risk management as "coordinated activities undertaken by administrations to direct and control risk." When adopted as a management philosophy, it enables Customs to carry out its key responsibilities effectively and organize and deploy its resources in a manner which improves overall performance and facilitates trade.

A risk-based approach is often driven by necessity, as Customs administrations are often required to deliver better results with the same or fewer resources.

4.1. Outline of the risk management process

The risk management process comprises:

1. Establishing the context
2. Risk assessment
   2.1. Risk identification
   2.2. Risk analysis
   2.3. Risk evaluation and prioritization
3. Risk treatment
4. Recording, communication and consultation
5. Monitoring and review

The following diagram outlines the process to be followed in managing risk, both for a high-level examination of risk in regard to PCA planning at a national level, or lower-level operational activities like the selection of auditees within a monthly audit plan.



Establishing the risk management context involves establishing the goals, objectives, strategies, scope and parameters of the activity or part of the organization to which the risk management process is being applied.

This step is also about establishing the risk criteria, i.e., criteria against which risk will be measured. Examples of risk criteria are revenue leakage, Customs' image and delivery of government policy intent. These will form a fundamental basis for decisions made in the later steps of the cycle.

These criteria should be used to determine acceptable and unacceptable levels of risk (i.e., what level of revenue leakage is acceptable, what negative effects on Customs' image can be tolerated, what level of movement away from government policy intent is acceptable).

Risk management within the PCA context can be: (a) strategic; (b) tactical; and (c) operational. The risk management process can apply across all of these levels.

(a) Strategic risk management

Strategic risk assessment is based on Customs being able to identify the overall risk posed by an entire sector or a group of importers. By identifying such a group, a Customs administration will be able to target all or selected companies within an industry sector as high risk.

An industry sector may be classified as high risk for various reasons, such as:

- the strategic importance of the industry to the national interest;
- the international trade agreements which govern the industry;
- public health and safety considerations;
- intellectual property rights;
- and the economic impact of the imports.

Studies on specific commercial sectors

A general study of the commercial sector or goods involved will help the officer deal with the information in context. The use of specific sector studies is a reliable source for collecting information in the field. For example, sector studies may be on specific program areas such as:

- valuation;
- textile transhipment;
- intellectual property rights, etc.

The sector would be targeted in advance according to criteria such as:

- estimates of the value of the commodity;
- sensitivity of nationals or industry to illegal activity, etc.

Once the sector is chosen, information must be defined and collected on the various components, at both macroeconomic (sector size, production, consumption, etc.) and microeconomic levels (the number of firms involved, their technological capacity, structure, the type of fraud to which they are exposed, etc.). This information may be complied and maintained in a database or other electronic format.

(b) Tactical risk management

This is the process of identifying groups of high-risk transactions by particular importers. Considerations when identifying these groups include:

- importer's volume of imports
- total value of imported goods
- types of goods imported
- prior importer or compliance problem
- first time importer/exporter

(c) Operational risk management

Factors to consider when identifying high-risk transactions include:

- who the importer is
- what commodity is involved
- prior discrepancies or violations involving the commodity
- high value importation
- country of origin
- whether any special regulations or programs apply to this type of import (such as quotas)
- value declared for the commodity is outside the previously established high-low range

Other factors which could increase the level of risk in any of the above mentioned types of risk assessment are:

- referral information from other Customs units;
- potential of revenue recovery;
- risk of revenue loss;
- and government program priorities or specific intelligence.

Risk identification

The following elements can assist in identifying risk:

- performance of an industry against legislative/administrative requirements;
- performance of individual auditees;
- elements of individual auditees' operations (e.g., internal control, separation of duties/tasks, results of external reviews if appropriate.).

Risk analysis

The WCO Risk Management Compendium defines risk analysis as the systematic use of available information to determine how often defined risks may occur and the magnitude of their likely consequences. Likelihood and consequence can be determined using a rating scale (e.g. high, medium and low or 1- 6, etc.). These two measures, likelihood and consequence, taken together determine the overall level of risk, once again rated as high, medium or low.

Monitoring and reviewing risk

Monitoring and review are integral steps in the process of managing risk. This is necessary to:

- determine if previously identified risks are still current;
- identify new risks;
- reevaluate risk levels assigned previously in the light of updated information;
- and evaluate the effectiveness of compliance activity undertaken.[6]

4.2. Establishment of risk intelligence systems[7]

Intelligence can be defined as a product, derived from the collection and processing of relevant information, which acts as a basis for evaluating risk and making informed decisions when developing an audit plan. Each Customs administration should establish its own intelligence network and systems, tailored to its own needs and based on perceived risks. This network is likely to include both local intelligence units based in ports and airports and a central intelligence unit that is able to collect and collate information and disseminate it throughout the entire service. As well as receiving information, intelligence officers should proactively seek information from all available sources.

Risk and intelligence

A risk and intelligence team may serve the whole Customs department. The team's role will normally include the following:

- identify, manage and cultivate information sources
- contribute to the identification and measurement of risk
- communicate with operational colleagues enabling them to take effective and appropriate action in a timely manner
- review intelligence data by obtaining feedback and reviewing targets accordingly

[6] WCO Customs Risk Management Compendium
[7] WCO Customs Risk Management Compendium and GIIS

5. LIAISON WITH OTHER/FOREIGN CUSTOMS UNITS AND TAX DEPARTMENTS

As previously mentioned, PCA is not a standalone system but one function of the Customs organization optimally linked to the other relevant functions.

Suspected fraud

Where a potential Customs offense is discovered during the course of the audit, the audit team should withdraw from the audit without alerting the auditee and communicate and coordinate with the appropriate enforcement unit, who will decide whether to start a formal investigation.

Legal advice

If, during the course of the audit, issues arise which require further legal interpretation, the auditor may seek advice from the Customs' legal department. Auditees also may seek reconsideration of the specific rulings provided by Customs as they are applied to specific transactions. In the event that disputes regarding the audit findings cannot be resolved by a dialogue with the importer, the option should be available for requesting a formal review of the decision in question and the right of appeal in accordance with the procedures provided in national legislation.

Other Customs units

It is recommended that communication channels are established with Customs clearance units and other control, risk and enforcement units to share knowledge and information on a particular commercial operator.

Liaison with Tax and VAT departments

Benefits can be had by exchanging knowledge and information with departments responsible for VAT, excise and direct taxes, to the extent that national legislation allows.

Liaison with foreign Customs administrations

Cooperation with foreign Customs administrations may be sought using the pertinent tools for Mutual Administrative Assistance.

6. ANNEX: EXAMPLE OF LEGISLATION (TURKEY)

Turkey Customs
Regulation of Post-Clearance Controls
and Control of Risky Transactions

CHAPTER I
Purpose, Content, Base and Definitions

Purpose
ARTICLE 1 – (1) The purpose of this regulation is to set the principals and essentials of the post-clearance controls which will be performed on the premises of the declarants in order to detect risky transactions, accuracy of Customs declarations and Customs clearance operations.

Content
ARTICLE 2 – (1) This regulation, according to the purpose stated in the first article, covers the principals and essentials of the post-clearance controls which will be performed on the premises of the declarants and other related persons.

Base
ARTICLE 3 – (1) This regulation is based on the 10th and 73rd articles of the Customs Code dated 27/10/1999, numbered 4458.

Definitions
ARTICLE 4 – (1)
a) Declarant: The person who submits the declaration for himself or for whom the declaration is submitted by another person.

b) Person: Real or judicial persons or the partnerships of persons who do not have a judicial person status but have a right to take legal actions according to the current legislation.

c) Auditors of the central level: Customs inspectors of the Ministry.

i) Board of Risk Assessment and Coordination: It is the Board which comprises - in the presidency of the Undersecretary of Customs - the office which is given duty of secretariat by the Undersecretary and the Deputy Undersecretary of the abovementioned office, Head of Office of Investigation and Guidance, General Director of Customs, General Director of Customs Enforcement, General Director of Customs Risk Management and Controls, General Director of Liquidation Services.

d) Risky person or transaction: High-risk persons or transactions identified according to the risk criteria.

e) Post-clearance controls: The controls of the commercial documents related to goods, Customs operations or later commercial activities and data, or high-risk persons, or transactions on the premises of the declarants in order to detect the accuracy of Customs declarations and Customs clearance operations.

f) Post-Clearance Controls Plan: The plan containing the data used to define post-clearance controls program.

g) Post-Clearance Controls Program: The annual or limited program prepared in the framework of Post-Clearance Controls Plan.

CHAPTER II
Main principals and essentials of Post-Clearance Controls

Authorization

ARTICLE 5 – (1) Post-clearance controls, within the framework of this regulation, are performed by Auditors of the central level of the Ministry.

(2) The controls of Customs declarations, documentary and secondary controls, are performed by Customs clearance officers in the Customs offices according to the Regulation of Customs Code and other related legislations.

Persons or operations that are subject to post-clearance controls

ARTICLE 6 – (1) Post-clearance controls performed within the framework of this regulation covers the persons or operations related to the Customs operations directly or indirectly or other persons who have the documents and data related to Customs operations with the aim of taking commercial actions, to enable detection of the commercial documents and data related to Customs operations or later activities.

(2) It is essential for post-clearance controls to be performed on the premises of the persons mentioned in the first subsection. However, if this is not possible, the controls may be performed in the Customs office if there is anywhere the person is settled, if not in another place of government. If the company subject to controls has many branches in different places, the controls may be performed at the company's headquarters.

The purpose and content of the post-clearance controls

ARTICLE 7 – (1) The aim of the post-clearance controls is to define the position of the declarants towards the Customs taxes and to determine if they fulfill the requirements coming from other regulations.

.

(2) Post-clearance controls may be limited to some activities.

Determination of the persons to be audited and the control methods

ARTICLE 8 – (1) The declarants to be audited in the framework of post-clearance control system are determined based on risk analysis by the office with the duty of secretariat.

(2) The post-clearance control system is based on planning and it is systematic. The declarants to be audited in a calendar year are designated in a post-clearance control plan beforehand.

(3) If there is a necessity, it is possible to perform audits outside of the plan.

CHAPTER III
Post-Clearance Controls

Post-Clearance Controls Program

ARTICLE 9 – (1) A Post-Clearance Controls Program is prepared and submitted to the Board of Risk Assessment and Coordination by the office with the duty of secretariat.

(2) The program is approved by the Undersecretary and comes into force. It is distributed to the Office of Investigation and Guidance. The same way is carried out for the plans which are prepared for a necessity and out of annual plan. In these programs, the legal base of the post-clearance controls is stated, as well as the persons or operations to be audited, and the term, contents and date of the post-clearance controls.

Notification of post-clearance control

ARTICLE 10 – (1) The auditor shall notify the persons concerned about the post-clearance audit at least 15 days prior to the start of the audit.

(2) When the person(s) subject to a post-clearance control submit a written request to postpone the audit, with a valid and acceptable reason in the week following the notification, the postponement may be granted in exceptional cases.

(3) Where a prior notification would prejudice post-clearance control, the auditor shall not notify. In this case, the auditor shall be notifying the persons concerned.

(4) Upon the offer of the auditors and the approval of the Ministry the scope of the post-clearance controls program may be supplemented or extended.

Starting the audit

ARTICLE 11 – (1) When arriving to the place of the person concerned, auditors show their ID and present themselves.

(2) The beginning date and time of the audit is recorded to a report, or the situation is written down in the minutes.

Principals of Post-Clearance Controls

ARTICLE 12 – (1) The auditors performing post-clearance controls examine every element, in favor of or against the auditee, related to the Customs legislation and other related legislation.

(2) Without prejudice to the purpose and the process of post-clearance control, the auditee shall be informed of the findings of the control and the legal consequences of these findings.

Investigation measures

ARTICLE 13 – (1) Where the evidence leading to a criminal proceeding is found during the audit, the legislation regulating the working methods and principles of the auditors shall be applied.

(2) In the cases referred to in the first paragraph, necessary measures including the seizure of goods, documents and data shall be taken according to Anti-Smuggling Law No. 5607 of 21.03.2007, Turkish Penal Code No.5237 of 26.09.2004 and Criminal Procedure Law No.5271 of 04.12.2004.

CHAPTER IV
Liabilities, Final Evaluation and Reporting

Liabilities of the persons concerned

ARTICLE 14 – (1) The auditee must provide all necessary data, records, books and other documents on which the audit will be based. They must also enable the auditors to directly or indirectly access the required documents, data and documents submitted on the data processing systems.

(2) If the persons concerned are not able to provide the information, or the information provided by these persons is not explicative and sufficient, auditors may request information from all other related persons, who must provide the information required.

(3) The auditee must provide a suitable working place and necessary additional materials.

(4) Post-clearance controls shall be made during the working hours of the auditee, unless they accept to do it outside working hours.

(5) The auditors have the right to enter and search every single part of the auditee's premises, and the auditee shall attend these controls.

Completion and evaluation of a post-clearance audit

ARTICLE 15 – (1) The auditors hold a final interview with the persons concerned about the outcomes of the audit. Where there are no findings in terms of Customs taxes and the liabilities coming from Customs law and other related legislation, or where the persons concerned do not request a final interview, this stage may be waived. In the final interview, auditors state the elements subject to conflict, juridical consideration and the consequences of the findings in terms of Customs taxes and other regulations.

(2) The conclusion on the final interview is written down in the minutes which include the place, date, the subject of the interview and the names, titles and signatures of the participants. A copy of the minutes is given to the auditee.

Reports

ARTICLE 16 – (1) Auditors prepare a report covering the findings which are significant for taxation process, approximate tax amount changes and guiding elements for the declarants. However, where there are no significant findings it would be enough to inform the persons concerned instead of writing a report.

(2) Upon the request of the persons concerned, the report is sent to them before consideration and they may declare their own opinions on the report within 15 days.

(3) A final report containing the findings, considerations and suggestions on the precautions that should be taken by the administration is prepared and presented to the Ministry.

Limiting the audits

ARTICLE 17 – (1) When the criteria of risk analysis prove there is no need for regular audits, audits may be limited.

(2) Limited audits are subjected to the rules of regular audits.

Results of post-clearance audits

ARTICLE 18 – (1) Each person subject to an audit shall be given a risk point based on the reports. These points shall be taken into account during risk assessment activities.

CHAPTER V
Final Provisions

Other Points

ARTICLE 19 – (1) The points are not covered under this regulation laying down the Regulation of Ministry of Investigation and Guidance.

Entry into force

ARTICLE 20 – This regulation shall enter into force on the date of its publication in the Official Journal.

Execution

ARTICLE 21 – The Minister shall execute the provisions of this (implementing) regulation.